

Impact Assessment Matrix 1: Effect and Impact Identification

Plan, policy, programme or project under assessment:		9. Gypsy and Traveller and Travelling Populations		Assessment ID: F44.13.004				
Sub- plan, policy, programme or project under assessment:		9.1 The Council will provide for the needs of gypsies and travellers and travelling showpeople by safeguarding existing authorised sites						
Will implementation of the proposed strategic option, policy or action affect the sustainability of the Borough...		Brief description & character of the anticipated effect						
SA/SEA Objectives	Indicators / Targets /Trends <i>(see Scoping Report for full description)</i>	Description of Effect/Issue	Can the effect be quantified? (if yes, insert data reference)	Characterisation of Effect			Comments including recommendations for minor effect mitigation & enhancement <i>(See Note 4)</i>	
				Duration <i>(See Note 1)</i>	Consequence <i>(See Note 2)</i>	Status <i>(See Note 3)</i>		
RSF1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Net additional dwellings for the current year (Trend: +ve , Target: Achieved) Affordable housing completions (Trend: +ve , Target: n/a) Households on the housing register (Trend: -ve , Target: being achieved) Ratio of lower quartile house price to lower quartile house price by district (Trend: -ve , Target: n/a) Number of non decent homes per 1000 dwellings (Trend: +ve , Target: n/a) Percentage of new build and retrofit homes meeting EcoHomes Very Good standard or above or equivalent Code for Sustainable Homes (Trend: Neutral/unchanged , Target: n/a) 	<i>What is the anticipated effect/issue?</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> This policy is in direct agreement with RSF 1 	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Data:</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Net additional dwellings for the current year Affordable housing completions Households on the housing register Ratio of lower quartile house price to lower quartile house price by district Number of non decent homes per 1000 dwellings Percentage of new build and retrofit homes meeting EcoHomes Very Good standard or above or equivalent Code for Sustainable Homes 	LP	+	D	This policy is in agreement with RSF1 through the support of housing that is suitable to need

Note 1 **Duration** refers to whether the anticipated effect is a short (S), medium (M) or long (L) term one, and whether it is expected to be permanent (P) or temporary (T).

Note 2 **Consequence** refers to whether the anticipated effect will be a significantly beneficial (++), beneficial (+), neutral (0), adverse (-) or significantly adverse (--).one.

Note 3 **Status** refers to whether the anticipated effect will be direct (D), indirect (I), cumulative (C) or synergistic (S).

Note 4 **PPPP can definitely do**; **PPPP could do, subject to limits or further investigation thereof**; **PPPP can't do, but others can**

Impact Assessment Matrix 1: Effect and Impact Identification

Plan, policy, programme or project under assessment:		9. Gypsy and Traveller and Travelling Populations		Assessment ID: F44.13.004				
Sub- plan, policy, programme or project under assessment:		9.2 The Council will provide for the needs of Gypsies and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople by: Making additional provision as identified in the Draft South East Plan (provisionally 20 pitches). The locations for this provision will be identified in the Housing and Site Allocation DPD						
Will implementation of the proposed strategic option, policy or action affect the sustainability of the Borough...		Brief description & character of the anticipated effect						
SA/SEA Objectives	Indicators / Targets / Trends <i>(see Scoping Report for full description)</i>	Description of Effect/Issue	Can the effect be quantified? <i>(if yes, insert data reference)</i>	Characterisation of Effect			Comments including recommendations for minor effect mitigation & enhancement <i>(See Note 4)</i>	
				Duration <i>(See Note 1)</i>	Consequence <i>(See Note 2)</i>	Status <i>(See Note 3)</i>		
RSF1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Net additional dwellings for the current year (Trend: +ve , Target: Achieved) Affordable housing completions (Trend: +ve , Target: n/a) Households on the housing register (Trend: -ve , Target: being achieved) Ratio of lower quartile house price to lower quartile house price by district (Trend: -ve , Target: n/a) Number of non decent homes per 1000 dwellings (Trend: +ve , Target: n/a) Percentage of new build and retrofit homes meeting EcoHomes Very Good standard or above or equivalent Code for Sustainable Homes (Trend: Neutral/unchanged , Target: n/a) 	<i>What is the anticipated effect/issue?</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> This policy is in direct agreement with RSF 1 	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Data:</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Net additional dwellings for the current year Affordable housing completions Households on the housing register Ratio of lower quartile house price to lower quartile house price by district Number of non decent homes per 1000 dwellings Percentage of new build and retrofit homes meeting EcoHomes Very Good standard or above or equivalent Code for Sustainable Homes 	LP	+	D	This policy is in agreement with RSF1 through the support of housing that is suitable to need

Note 1 **Duration** refers to whether the anticipated effect is a short (S), medium (M) or long (L) term one, and whether it is expected to be permanent (P) or temporary (T).

Note 2 **Consequence** refers to whether the anticipated effect will be a significantly beneficial (++), beneficial (+), neutral (0), adverse (-) or significantly adverse (--).one.

Note 3 **Status** refers to whether the anticipated effect will be direct (D), indirect (I), cumulative (C) or synergistic (S).

Note 4 **PPPP can definitely do**; **PPPP could do, subject to limits or further investigation thereof**; **PPPP can't do, but others can**

Impact Assessment Matrix 1: Effect and Impact Identification

Plan, policy, programme or project under assessment:		9. Gypsy and Traveller and Travelling Populations		Assessment ID: F44.13.004				
Sub- plan, policy, programme or project under assessment:		9.3 Any proposals for new Gypsy, Traveller and Travelling Showpeople sites, including extensions to existing sites, will be assessed against the following criteria: it meets an identified need						
Will implementation of the proposed strategic option, policy or action affect the sustainability of the Borough...		Brief description & character of the anticipated effect						
SA/SEA Objectives	Indicators / Targets /Trends <i>(see Scoping Report for full description)</i>	Description of Effect/Issue	Can the effect be quantified? (if yes, insert data reference)	Characterisation of Effect			Comments including recommendations for minor effect mitigation & enhancement <i>(See Note 4)</i>	
				Duration (See Note 1)	Consequence (See Note 2)	Status (See Note 3)		
RSF1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Net additional dwellings for the current year (Trend: +ve , Target: Achieved) Affordable housing completions (Trend: +ve , Target: n/a) Households on the housing register (Trend: -ve , Target: being achieved) Ratio of lower quartile house price to lower quartile house price by district (Trend: -ve , Target: n/a) Number of non decent homes per 1000 dwellings (Trend: +ve , Target: n/a) Percentage of new build and retrofit homes meeting EcoHomes Very Good standard or above or equivalent Code for Sustainable Homes (Trend: Neutral/unchanged , Target: n/a) 	<i>What is the anticipated effect/issue?</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> This policy is in direct agreement with RSF 1 	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Data:</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Net additional dwellings for the current year Affordable housing completions Households on the housing register Ratio of lower quartile house price to lower quartile house price by district Number of non decent homes per 1000 dwellings Percentage of new build and retrofit homes meeting EcoHomes Very Good standard or above or equivalent Code for Sustainable Homes 	LP	+	D	This policy is in agreement with RSF1 through the support of housing that is suitable to need

Note 1 **Duration** refers to whether the anticipated effect is a short (S), medium (M) or long (L) term one, and whether it is expected to be permanent (P) or temporary (T).

Note 2 **Consequence** refers to whether the anticipated effect will be a significantly beneficial (++), beneficial (+), neutral (0), adverse (-) or significantly adverse (--).one.

Note 3 **Status** refers to whether the anticipated effect will be direct (D), indirect (I), cumulative (C) or synergistic (S).

Note 4 **PPPP can definitely do**; **PPPP could do, subject to limits or further investigation thereof**; **PPPP can't do, but others can**

Impact Assessment Matrix 1: Effect and Impact Identification

Plan, policy, programme or project under assessment:		9. Gypsy and Traveller and Travelling Populations		Assessment ID: F44.13.004				
Sub- plan, policy, programme or project under assessment:		9.4 Any proposals for new Gypsy, Traveller and Travelling Showpeople sites, including extensions to existing sites, will be assessed against the following criteria: It is environmentally acceptable and not visually intrusive or detrimental to the appearance or character of an area.						
Will implementation of the proposed strategic option, policy or action affect the sustainability of the Borough...		Brief description & character of the anticipated effect						
SA/SEA Objectives	Indicators / Targets / Trends <i>(see Scoping Report for full description)</i>	Description of Effect/Issue	Can the effect be quantified? <i>(if yes, insert data reference)</i>	Characterisation of Effect			Comments including recommendations for minor effect mitigation & enhancement <i>(See Note 4)</i>	
				Duration <i>(See Note 1)</i>	Consequence <i>(See Note 2)</i>	Status <i>(See Note 3)</i>		
RSF1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Net additional dwellings for the current year (Trend: +ve , Target: Achieved) Affordable housing completions (Trend: +ve , Target: n/a) Households on the housing register (Trend: -ve , Target: being achieved) Ratio of lower quartile house price to lower quartile house price by district (Trend: -ve , Target: n/a) Number of non decent homes per 1000 dwellings (Trend: +ve , Target: n/a) Percentage of new build and retrofit homes meeting EcoHomes Very Good standard or above or equivalent Code for Sustainable Homes (Trend: Neutral/unchanged , Target: n/a) 	<i>What is the anticipated effect/issue?</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> This policy may have a negative effect on RSF 1 	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Data:</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Net additional dwellings for the current year Affordable housing completions Households on the housing register Ratio of lower quartile house price to lower quartile house price by district Number of non decent homes per 1000 dwellings Percentage of new build and retrofit homes meeting EcoHomes Very Good standard or above or equivalent Code for Sustainable Homes 	LP	-	I	This policy may not be in agreement with RSF 1 as it may limit development in areas that do not meet the environmental criteria.

Note 1 **Duration** refers to whether the anticipated effect is a short (S), medium (M) or long (L) term one, and whether it is expected to be permanent (P) or temporary (T).

Note 2 **Consequence** refers to whether the anticipated effect will be a significantly beneficial (++), beneficial (+), neutral (0), adverse (-) or significantly adverse (--).one.

Note 3 **Status** refers to whether the anticipated effect will be direct (D), indirect (I), cumulative (C) or synergistic (S).

Note 4 **PPPP can definitely do**; **PPPP could do, subject to limits or further investigation thereof**; **PPPP can't do, but others can**

Impact Assessment Matrix 1: Effect and Impact Identification

Plan, policy, programme or project under assessment:		9. Gypsy and Traveller and Travelling Populations		Assessment ID: F44.13.004				
Sub- plan, policy, programme or project under assessment:		9.5 Any proposals for new Gypsy, Traveller and Travelling Showpeople sites, including extensions to existing sites, will be assessed against the following criteria: The development would not have any adverse effects on adjoining properties						
Will implementation of the proposed strategic option, policy or action affect the sustainability of the Borough...		Brief description & character of the anticipated effect						
SA/SEA Objectives	Indicators / Targets / Trends <i>(see Scoping Report for full description)</i>	Description of Effect/Issue	Can the effect be quantified? <i>(if yes, insert data reference)</i>	Characterisation of Effect			Comments including recommendations for minor effect mitigation & enhancement <i>(See Note 4)</i>	
				Duration <i>(See Note 1)</i>	Consequence <i>(See Note 2)</i>	Status <i>(See Note 3)</i>		
RSF1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Net additional dwellings for the current year (Trend: +ve , Target: Achieved) Affordable housing completions (Trend: +ve , Target: n/a) Households on the housing register (Trend: -ve , Target: being achieved) Ratio of lower quartile house price to lower quartile house price by district (Trend: -ve , Target: n/a) Number of non decent homes per 1000 dwellings (Trend: +ve , Target: n/a) Percentage of new build and retrofit homes meeting EcoHomes Very Good standard or above or equivalent Code for Sustainable Homes (Trend: Neutral/unchanged , Target: n/a) 	<i>What is the anticipated effect/issue?</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> This policy may have a negative effect on RSF 1 	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Data:</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Net additional dwellings for the current year Affordable housing completions Households on the housing register Ratio of lower quartile house price to lower quartile house price by district Number of non decent homes per 1000 dwellings Percentage of new build and retrofit homes meeting EcoHomes Very Good standard or above or equivalent Code for Sustainable Homes 	LP	-	I	This policy may not be in agreement with RSF 1 as it may limit development in areas that do not meet the criteria.

Note 1 **Duration** refers to whether the anticipated effect is a short (S), medium (M) or long (L) term one, and whether it is expected to be permanent (P) or temporary (T).

Note 2 **Consequence** refers to whether the anticipated effect will be a significantly beneficial (++), beneficial (+), neutral (0), adverse (-) or significantly adverse (--).one.

Note 3 **Status** refers to whether the anticipated effect will be direct (D), indirect (I), cumulative (C) or synergistic (S).

Note 4 **PPPP can definitely do**; **PPPP could do, subject to limits or further investigation thereof**; **PPPP can't do, but others can**

Impact Assessment Matrix 1: Effect and Impact Identification

Plan, policy, programme or project under assessment:		9. Gypsy and Traveller and Travelling Populations		Assessment ID: F44.13.004				
Sub- plan, policy, programme or project under assessment:		9.6 Any proposals for new Gypsy, Traveller and Travelling Showpeople sites, including extensions to existing sites, will be assessed against the following criteria: If it is large enough to allow for the planned number of caravans and provide for appropriate space for circulation and parking and amenity space						
Will implementation of the proposed strategic option, policy or action affect the sustainability of the Borough...		Brief description & character of the anticipated effect						
SA/SEA Objectives	Indicators / Targets / Trends <i>(see Scoping Report for full description)</i>	Description of Effect/Issue	Can the effect be quantified? <i>(if yes, insert data reference)</i>	Characterisation of Effect			Comments including recommendations for minor effect mitigation & enhancement <i>(See Note 4)</i>	
				Duration <i>(See Note 1)</i>	Consequence <i>(See Note 2)</i>	Status <i>(See Note 3)</i>		
RSF1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Net additional dwellings for the current year (Trend: +ve , Target: Achieved) Affordable housing completions (Trend: +ve , Target: n/a) Households on the housing register (Trend: -ve , Target: being achieved) Ratio of lower quartile house price to lower quartile house price by district (Trend: -ve , Target: n/a) Number of non decent homes per 1000 dwellings (Trend: +ve , Target: n/a) Percentage of new build and retrofit homes meeting EcoHomes Very Good standard or above or equivalent Code for Sustainable Homes (Trend: Neutral/unchanged , Target: n/a) 	<i>What is the anticipated effect/issue?</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> This policy is in direct agreement with RSF1 	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Data:</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Net additional dwellings for the current year Affordable housing completions Households on the housing register Ratio of lower quartile house price to lower quartile house price by district Number of non decent homes per 1000 dwellings Percentage of new build and retrofit homes meeting EcoHomes Very Good standard or above or equivalent Code for Sustainable Homes 	LP	+	D	This policy in support of RSF as it supports the provision of homes suitable to need

Note 1 **Duration** refers to whether the anticipated effect is a short (S), medium (M) or long (L) term one, and whether it is expected to be permanent (P) or temporary (T).

Note 2 **Consequence** refers to whether the anticipated effect will be a significantly beneficial (++), beneficial (+), neutral (0), adverse (-) or significantly adverse (--).one.

Note 3 **Status** refers to whether the anticipated effect will be direct (D), indirect (I), cumulative (C) or synergistic (S).

Note 4 **PPPP can definitely do**; **PPPP could do, subject to limits or further investigation thereof**; **PPPP can't do, but others can**

Impact Assessment Matrix 1: Effect and Impact Identification

Plan, policy, programme or project under assessment:		9. Gypsy and Traveller and Travelling Populations		Assessment ID: F44.13.004				
Sub- plan, policy, programme or project under assessment:		9.7 Any proposals for new Gypsy, Traveller and Travelling Showpeople sites, including extensions to existing sites, will be assessed against the following criteria: Permission will only be granted for the development of new or extended sites in the Green Belt where it is demonstrated that very special circumstances exist						
Will implementation of the proposed strategic option, policy or action affect the sustainability of the Borough...		Brief description & character of the anticipated effect						
SA/SEA Objectives	Indicators / Targets / Trends <i>(see Scoping Report for full description)</i>	Description of Effect/Issue	Can the effect be quantified? <i>(if yes, insert data reference)</i>	Characterisation of Effect			Comments including recommendations for minor effect mitigation & enhancement <i>(See Note 4)</i>	
				Duration <i>(See Note 1)</i>	Consequence <i>(See Note 2)</i>	Status <i>(See Note 3)</i>		
RSF1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Net additional dwellings for the current year (Trend: +ve , Target: Achieved) Affordable housing completions (Trend: +ve , Target: n/a) Households on the housing register (Trend: -ve , Target: being achieved) Ratio of lower quartile house price to lower quartile house price by district (Trend: -ve , Target: n/a) Number of non decent homes per 1000 dwellings (Trend: +ve , Target: n/a) Percentage of new build and retrofit homes meeting EcoHomes Very Good standard or above or equivalent Code for Sustainable Homes (Trend: Neutral/unchanged , Target: n/a) 	<i>What is the anticipated effect/issue?</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> This policy may not be in agreement with RSF1 	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Data:</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Net additional dwellings for the current year Affordable housing completions Households on the housing register Ratio of lower quartile house price to lower quartile house price by district Number of non decent homes per 1000 dwellings Percentage of new build and retrofit homes meeting EcoHomes Very Good standard or above or equivalent Code for Sustainable Homes 	LP	-	I	This policy may not be in agreement with RSF1 as this may make it more difficult to provide accommodation suitable to need

Note 1 **Duration** refers to whether the anticipated effect is a short (S), medium (M) or long (L) term one, and whether it is expected to be permanent (P) or temporary (T).

Note 2 **Consequence** refers to whether the anticipated effect will be a significantly beneficial (++), beneficial (+), neutral (0), adverse (-) or significantly adverse (--).one.

Note 3 **Status** refers to whether the anticipated effect will be direct (D), indirect (I), cumulative (C) or synergistic (S).

Note 4 **PPPP can definitely do**; **PPPP could do, subject to limits or further investigation thereof**; **PPPP can't do, but others can**

Impact Assessment Matrix 1: Effect and Impact Identification

Plan, policy, programme or project under assessment:		9. Gypsy and Traveller and Travelling Populations		Assessment ID: F44.13.004			
Sub- plan, policy, programme or project under assessment:		9.8 Any proposals for new Gypsy, Traveller and Travelling Showpeople sites, including extensions to existing sites, will be assessed against the following criteria: It is reasonably accessible to local services and facilities					
Will implementation of the proposed strategic option, policy or action affect the sustainability of the Borough...		Brief description & character of the anticipated effect					
SA/SEA Objectives	Indicators / Targets /Trends <i>(see Scoping Report for full description)</i>	Description of Effect/Issue	Can the effect be quantified? (if yes, insert data reference)	Characterisation of Effect			Comments including recommendations for minor effect mitigation & enhancement <i>(See Note 4)</i>
				Duration <i>(See Note 1)</i>	Consequence <i>(See Note 2)</i>	Status <i>(See Note 3)</i>	
RSF7	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Access to key services and facilities by public transport , walking and cycling (Data Gap) Percentage of rural households at set distances from key services (Trend: Neutral/unchanged, RSF Target: N/A) Access to natural greenspace (Data Gap) 	<i>What is the anticipated effect/issue?</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> This policy is in direct agreement with RSF1 	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Data:</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Percentage of rural households at set distances from key services 	LP	+	D	The policy for development proposals to be accessible to local services and facilities is in agreement with RSF 7 to improve accessibility to services and facilities

Note 1 **Duration** refers to whether the anticipated effect is a short (S), medium (M) or long (L) term one, and whether it is expected to be permanent (P) or temporary (T).

Note 2 **Consequence** refers to whether the anticipated effect will be a significantly beneficial (++), beneficial (+), neutral (0), adverse (-) or significantly adverse (--).one.

Note 3 **Status** refers to whether the anticipated effect will be direct (D), indirect (I), cumulative (C) or synergistic (S).

Note 4 **PPPP can definitely do**; **PPPP could do, subject to limits or further investigation thereof**; **PPPP can't do, but others can**

Impact Assessment Matrix 1: Effect and Impact Identification

Plan, policy, programme or project under assessment:		9. Gypsy and Traveller and Travelling Populations		Assessment ID: F44.13.004				
Sub- plan, policy, programme or project under assessment:		9.9 Any proposals for new Gypsy, Traveller and Travelling Showpeople sites, including extensions to existing sites, will be assessed against the following criteria: It has satisfactory highway access to the site						
Will implementation of the proposed strategic option, policy or action affect the sustainability of the Borough...		Brief description & character of the anticipated effect						
SA/SEA Objectives	Indicators / Targets /Trends <i>(see Scoping Report for full description)</i>	Description of Effect/Issue	Can the effect be quantified? (if yes, insert data reference)	Characterisation of Effect			Comments including recommendations for minor effect mitigation & enhancement <i>(See Note 4)</i>	
				Duration <i>(See Note 1)</i>	Consequence <i>(See Note 2)</i>	Status <i>(See Note 3)</i>		
RSF7	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Access to key services and facilities by public transport , walking and cycling (Data Gap) Percentage of rural households at set distances from key services (Trend: Neutral/unchanged, RSF Target: N/A) Access to natural greenspace (Data Gap) 	<i>What is the anticipated effect/issue?</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> This policy is in direct agreement with RSF1 	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Data:</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Percentage of rural households at set distances from key services 	LP	+	D	The policy for development proposals to have satisfactory highway access is in agreement with RSF 7 as it will improve access to services and facilities in the borough

Note 1 **Duration** refers to whether the anticipated effect is a short (S), medium (M) or long (L) term one, and whether it is expected to be permanent (P) or temporary (T).

Note 2 **Consequence** refers to whether the anticipated effect will be a significantly beneficial (++), beneficial (+), neutral (0), adverse (-) or significantly adverse (--).one.

Note 3 **Status** refers to whether the anticipated effect will be direct (D), indirect (I), cumulative (C) or synergistic (S).

Note 4 **PPPP can definitely do**; **PPPP could do, subject to limits or further investigation thereof**; **PPPP can't do, but others can**

Impact Assessment Matrix 1: Effect and Impact Identification

Plan, policy, programme or project under assessment:		9. Gypsy and Traveller and Travelling Populations		Assessment ID: F44.13.004				
Sub- plan, policy, programme or project under assessment:		9.10 Any proposals for new Gypsy, Traveller and Travelling Showpeople sites, including extensions to existing sites, will be assessed against the following criteria: Plot of travelling show people must have safe and convenient access to the highway network						
Will implementation of the proposed strategic option, policy or action affect the sustainability of the Borough...		Brief description & character of the anticipated effect						
SA/SEA Objectives	Indicators / Targets /Trends <i>(see Scoping Report for full description)</i>	Description of Effect/Issue	Can the effect be quantified? <i>(if yes, insert data reference)</i>	Characterisation of Effect			Comments including recommendations for minor effect mitigation & enhancement <i>(See Note 4)</i>	
				Duration <i>(See Note 1)</i>	Consequence <i>(See Note 2)</i>	Status <i>(See Note 3)</i>		
RSF7	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Access to key services and facilities by public transport , walking and cycling (Data Gap) Percentage of rural households at set distances from key services (Trend: Neutral/unchanged, RSF Target: N/A) Access to natural greenspace (Data Gap) 	<i>What is the anticipated effect/issue?</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> This policy is in direct agreement with RSF1 	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Data:</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Percentage of rural households at set distances from key services 	LP	+	D	The policy for development proposals to be accessible to local services and facilities is in agreement with RSF 7 to improve accessibility to services and facilities

Note 1 **Duration** refers to whether the anticipated effect is a short (S), medium (M) or long (L) term one, and whether it is expected to be permanent (P) or temporary (T).

Note 2 **Consequence** refers to whether the anticipated effect will be a significantly beneficial (++), beneficial (+), neutral (0), adverse (-) or significantly adverse (--).one.

Note 3 **Status** refers to whether the anticipated effect will be direct (D), indirect (I), cumulative (C) or synergistic (S).

Note 4 **PPPP can definitely do**; **PPPP could do, subject to limits or further investigation thereof**; **PPPP can't do, but others can**

Impact Assessment Matrix 1: Effect and Impact Identification

Plan, policy, programme or project under assessment:		9. Gypsy and Traveller and Travelling Populations		Assessment ID: F44.13.004				
Sub- plan, policy, programme or project under assessment:		9.11 Any proposals for new Gypsy, Traveller and Travelling Showpeople sites, including extensions to existing sites, will be assessed against the following criteria: Permission will only be granted for the development of new or extended sites in the Green Belt where it is demonstrated that very special circumstances exist						
Will implementation of the proposed strategic option, policy or action affect the sustainability of the Borough...		Brief description & character of the anticipated effect						
SA/SEA Objectives	Indicators / Targets /Trends <i>(see Scoping Report for full description)</i>	Description of Effect/Issue	Can the effect be quantified? <i>(if yes, insert data reference)</i>	Characterisation of Effect			Comments including recommendations for minor effect mitigation & enhancement <i>(See Note 4)</i>	
				Duration <i>(See Note 1)</i>	Consequence <i>(See Note 2)</i>	Status <i>(See Note 3)</i>		
RSF19	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Population of wild birds (Trend: Neutral/unchanged , RSF Target: N/A) Condition of sites of special scientific interest (Trend: Neutral/unchanged , RSF Target: Achieved) Extent and condition of key habitats for which biodiversity action plans have been established (Target: Neutral/unchanged , RSF Target: N/A) 	<i>What is the anticipated effect/issue?</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> This policy may not be in agreement with RSF 19 	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Data:</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Population of wild birds Condition of sites of special scientific interest Extent and condition of key habitats for which biodiversity action plans have been established 	LP	-	I	This policy may have a negative effect on RSF 19 if special circumstances criteria is fulfilled

Note 1 **Duration** refers to whether the anticipated effect is a short (S), medium (M) or long (L) term one, and whether it is expected to be permanent (P) or temporary (T).

Note 2 **Consequence** refers to whether the anticipated effect will be a significantly beneficial (++), beneficial (+), neutral (0), adverse (-) or significantly adverse (--).one.

Note 3 **Status** refers to whether the anticipated effect will be direct (D), indirect (I), cumulative (C) or synergistic (S).

Note 4 **PPPP can definitely do**; **PPPP could do, subject to limits or further investigation thereof**; **PPPP can't do, but others can**

Impact Assessment Matrix 1: Effect and Impact Identification

Plan, policy, programme or project under assessment:		9. Gypsy and Traveller and Travelling Populations		Assessment ID: F44.13.004				
Sub- plan, policy, programme or project under assessment:		9.12 Any proposals for new Gypsy, Traveller and Travelling Showpeople sites, including extensions to existing sites, will be assessed against the following criteria: Permission will only be granted for the development of new or extended sites in the Green Belt where it is demonstrated that very special circumstances exist						
Will implementation of the proposed strategic option, policy or action affect the sustainability of the Borough...		Brief description & character of the anticipated effect						
SA/SEA Objectives	Indicators / Targets /Trends <i>(see Scoping Report for full description)</i>	Description of Effect/Issue	Can the effect be quantified? <i>(if yes, insert data reference)</i>	Characterisation of Effect			Comments including recommendations for minor effect mitigation & enhancement <i>(See Note 4)</i>	
				Duration <i>(See Note 1)</i>	Consequence <i>(See Note 2)</i>	Status <i>(See Note 3)</i>		
RSF20	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Number and areas of sites damaged/destroyed by development (Data Gap) Area of land covered by HLS and ELS environmental stewardship schemes (Trend: Neutral/unchanged , RSF Target N/A) Change in the character of the landscape (Data Gap) Designated heritage assets (Trend: Neutral/ Unchanged , RSF Target: Achieved) 	<i>What is the anticipated effect/issue?</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> This policy may not be in agreement with RSF 20 	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Data:</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Area of land covered by HLS and ELS environmental stewardship schemes Designated heritage assets 	LP	-	D	This policy may have a negative effect on RSF 20 if special circumstances criteria is fulfilled

Note 1 **Duration** refers to whether the anticipated effect is a short (S), medium (M) or long (L) term one, and whether it is expected to be permanent (P) or temporary (T).

Note 2 **Consequence** refers to whether the anticipated effect will be a significantly beneficial (++), beneficial (+), neutral (0), adverse (-) or significantly adverse (--).one.

Note 3 **Status** refers to whether the anticipated effect will be direct (D), indirect (I), cumulative (C) or synergistic (S).

Note 4 **PPPP can definitely do**; **PPPP could do, subject to limits or further investigation thereof**; **PPPP can't do, but others can**